

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,845	02/08/2002	Yun-Bok Lee	8733.592.00	9648
30827	7590 07/02/2004		EXAM	INER
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID	
	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	. ,

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- Ingel	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/067,845	LEE, YUN-BOK	ak
· arioury riodon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a viral rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· /		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-11.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximate	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0. Other:		TARIFUR R. CHOWD	HIRV
		PRIMARY EXAMIN	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitations raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search to define patentibility. .